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## 1 Introduction

Partial equilibrium (PE) analysis is commonly used to analyze the effects of policies, in particular trade policies, on price and welfare in the market that is directly affected. The partial equilibrium model only depicts the economy in one particular sector or market. In the case of a single commodity PE, this approach neglects the indirect effects through which changes in the demand or supply for one good may influence the market for another good. Different from many single-commodity partial equilibrium models, the Export Restrictions And import Tariffs Overall impacts (ERATO) ${ }^{1}$ model illustrates how a multimarket model can be used to analyze trade policy options in a context of a multi-product value chain. As an illustration, we examine the impacts of trade restrictions imposed along three stages of the oilseed value chain. The model can be easily adapted to other value chains, eg. Cotton, or expanded to include other oilseeds (eg. peanuts).

This application considers 11 regions: ten countries (Argentina, Brazil, Canada, China, European Union, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Ukraine, and United States) and a rest-of-the-world aggregate are considered in the model, as they are the major producing, exporting, and importing countries of oilseed products; and four oilseeds. Nevertheless, the sectoral and regional coverage of the model can be modified easily in GAMS (the software of implementation) and the structure of input data is simplified using an Excel workbook.

This document details the theory and the practical choices done to develop this model.

## 2 Partial Equilibrium Model of Oilseed Value Chain: theoretical framework

### 2.1.1 Overview

This global multimarket partial equilibrium model is built based on the three stages of the oilseed production (Figure 1): (i) production of seeds, (ii) production of meals and oils, (iii) production of biodiesel.

As indicated in Figure 1, four types of seeds are included in the model, which are soybeans, sunflower seeds, rapeseeds, and palm nuts. The blue diagrams in Figure 1

[^0]represent the supplies of different commodities, and the green diagrams in Figure 1 represent the final demands of different commodities. From the supply side, each type of seed, meals and oils are produced from the crushed seed, and biodiesel is converted from oil. Thus, the oil and meal supplies are a function of the crushed seed, and the biodiesel supply is a function of the oil. From the demand side, the demand of each commodity comes from both domestic market and international market. In the domestic market, we assume the livestock sector as a final demand of different types of meal as it is the main demand for meal. Oil demand comes from the final consumption by households and intermediate consumption by biodiesel sector. The final demand of biodiesel comes from the households. The total demand of each type of commodity is the sum of consumption and export.


Figure 1. Modeled structure of the oilseed value chain

This model assumes that each seed, meal, and oil is a homogeneous commodity in the international market. There is no product differentiation by country of origin (no Armington Assumption). There are three sets of equations for the partial equilibrium condition, which are the supply, demand, and international trade. The definitions of subscripts, parameters, and variables are summarized in Table 1. The important variables and parameters associated with the oilseed value chain production are indicated in Figure 2.

Table 1. Definitions of Subscripts, Parameters, Variables in Model and in GAMS

| Subscripts/ <br> Parameters/Variables/ | Corresponding GAMS Item | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subscripts |  |  |
| i | i | type of seeds (soybeans, sunflower seeds, palm nuts, and rapeseeds) |
| $s$ | type-seed | crushed seeds |
| $o$ | type-oil | oils |
| $m$ | type-meal | meals |
| $b$ | type-bfuel | biodiesel |
| $r$ | r, s | set of countries/regions |
| $f$ | f | final demand (households and biodiesel producers) |
| Parameters |  |  |
| $K s_{i, r}, K o_{f, r}, K m_{i, r}, K b_{f, r}$ | Ks(i,r), $\operatorname{Ko}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Km}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Kb}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r})$ | scale parameter in isoelastic supply/demand function |
| $A_{i, r}$ | A(i,r) | scale parameter of crush demand |
| $\alpha_{o_{i r}} \alpha_{m_{i r}}$ | Alpha(type,i,r) | technical coefficients of oil and meal production |
| $\varepsilon_{i, r}$ | SIGA(i,r) | price elasticity of supply area |
| $\varepsilon m g_{i, r}, \varepsilon m g b_{r}$ | CRMG(i,r) | crush margin elasticity, biodiesel margin elasticity |
| Area $_{i, r}$ | Area(i,r) | area of land for harvesting |
| $a C_{i, f, r}$ | a_C(i,frr) | share parameter of different types of oils to the total composite oils |
| $\sigma_{o_{i r}}$ | SIGO(I,f,r) | elasticity of substitution between different oils |
| $\sigma_{l_{r},}, \sigma_{m_{i r}}, \sigma_{b_{i r}}$ | $\operatorname{SIGL}(\mathrm{r}), \operatorname{SIGM}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \operatorname{SIGB}(\mathrm{r})$ | price elasticity of demand for oil, meal, and biodiesel |
| $\operatorname{texp}_{s_{i r}}$ | TEXP(type, i,r) | Ad valorem export taxes |
| $t_{\text {timp }}{ }_{\text {sir }}$ | TIMO(type, i,r) | Ad valorem import taxes |
| Variables |  |  |
| $Y s_{i, r}, Y m_{i, r}, Y o_{i, r}, Y b_{r}$ | Ys(i,r), $\mathrm{Ym}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Yl}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{r})$ | domestic production |
| $X s_{i, r}, X m_{i, r}, X o_{i, r}, X b_{r}$ | Xs(i,r), Xm(i,r), Xl(i,r), Xb(r) | net trade |
| $C s_{i, r}$, Cm $_{i, r}, \mathrm{Cb}_{r}$ | CR(i,r), $\mathrm{Cm}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Cb}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r})$ | domestic demand of crushed seed, meal, and biorefinery |
| $\mathrm{Co}_{i, f, r}$ | $\mathrm{Clb}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Clh}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$, | domestic demand of oil by biodiesel sector or households |
| $\text { Ccomp }_{f, r}$ | $\mathrm{CPb}(\mathrm{r}), \mathrm{CPh}(\mathrm{r})$ | demand of composite oil by biodiesel sector or households |
| $P s_{i, r}, P m_{i, r}, P o_{i, r}, P b_{r}$ | $\mathrm{Ps}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Pm}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Pl}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}), \mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{r})$ | domestic price of seed, meal, oil and biodiesel |
| Pcomp $_{\text {f,r }}$ | $\mathrm{PCb}(\mathrm{r}), \mathrm{PCh}(\mathrm{r})$ | domestic price of composite oil by biodiesel or household |
| Ps ${ }^{*}, \mathrm{Pm}^{*}, \mathrm{Po}^{*}, \mathrm{~Pb}^{*}$ | PCIFs(i), PCIFm(i), PCIFl(i), PCIFb | international price of seed, meal, oil and biodiesel |
| $M R G_{i, r}, M R G b_{r}$ | MRG(i,r), MRGb(r) | crush margin, biodiesel margin |

## Supply

$$
\begin{align*}
& Y s_{i, r}=K s_{i, r} A r e a_{i, r} P s_{i, r}{ }^{\varepsilon_{i, r}}  \tag{1}\\
& Y m_{i, r}=\alpha_{m_{i, r}} C s_{i, r}  \tag{2}\\
& Y o_{i, r}=\alpha_{o_{i, r}} C s_{i, r}  \tag{3}\\
& M R G_{i, r}=\left(P o_{i, r} \alpha_{o_{i, r}}+P m_{i, r} \alpha_{m_{i, r}}\right)-P s_{i, r}  \tag{4}\\
& C s_{i, r}=A_{i, r}\left(M R G_{i, r}\right)^{\varepsilon m g_{i, r}}  \tag{5}\\
& Y b_{r}=C c o m p_{f, r}, \forall f=\text { biodiesel sector }  \tag{6}\\
& M R G b_{r}=P b_{r}-P c o m p_{f, r} \quad \forall f=\text { biodiesel sector }  \tag{7}\\
& \text { Ccomp }_{f, r}=K b_{f, r} M R G b_{r}^{\varepsilon m g b_{r}} \forall f=\text { biodiesel sector } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

## Demand

$C m_{i, r}=K m_{i, r}$ Pm $_{i, r}{ }^{\sigma_{m_{i, r}}}$
Ccomp $_{f, r}=$ Ko $_{f, r}$ Pcomp $_{f, r}{ }^{\sigma_{l}} \quad \forall f=$ households
Co $_{i, f, r}=a C_{i, f, r} \operatorname{Ccomp}_{f, r} \frac{\text { Pcomp }_{f, r}}{P_{i, r}}{ }^{\sigma_{i, r}}$
Pcomp $_{f, r}$ Ccomp $_{f, r}=\sum_{i}$ Po $_{i, r}$ Co $_{i, f, r}$
$C b_{r}=K b_{r} P b_{r}{ }^{\sigma_{b_{i, r}}}$

## Trade

$X s_{i, r}+C s_{i, r}=Y s_{i, r}$
$X m_{i, r}+C m_{i, r}=Y m_{i, r}$
$X o_{i, r}+\sum_{f} C o_{i, f, r}=Y o_{i, r}$
$X b_{r}+C b_{r}=Y b_{r}$
$P s^{*}=P s_{i, r}\left(1+\operatorname{texp}_{s_{i, r}}\right) /\left(1+\operatorname{timp}_{s_{i, r}}\right)$
$P m^{*}=P m_{i, r}\left(1+\operatorname{texp}_{m_{i, r}}\right) /\left(1+\operatorname{timp}_{m_{i, r}}\right)$
$P o^{*}=P o_{i, r}\left(1+\operatorname{texp}_{o_{i, r}}\right) /\left(1+\operatorname{timp}_{o_{i, r}}\right)$
$\sum_{r} X s_{i, r}=0$
$\sum_{r} X m_{i, r}=0$
$\sum_{r} X o_{i, r}=0$

Stage I Stage II Stage III


Figure 2. Variables and Parameters Associated with the Production of Oilseed Value Chain

### 2.1.2 Supply side

There are six generic equations in the Supply section. The elasticity of supply is assumed to be constant during the production process in this model. Equation (1) is the production function for seed $i$ in region $r\left(Y s_{i, r}\right)$, which is a function of the price of seed ( $P s_{i, r}$ ) and the harvest area $\left(A r e a_{i, r}\right) . K s_{i, r}$ is a scale parameter. $\varepsilon_{i, r}$ represents the supply area elasticity of seed production. Yields are assumed to be constant. Then, the seeds are crushed into meals and oils (Figure 1 and Figure 2). Based on the Leontief technology assumption, a fixed proportion in the technology of meal and oil production from the crushed seeds is assumed. Equation (2) is the production function for meal in region $r$ $\left(Y m_{i, r}\right)$ and Equation (3) is the production function for oil i region $r\left(Y o_{i, r}\right) . C s_{i, r}$ is the demand of crushed seed in region $r$ by both the meal and oil sectors. $\alpha_{m_{i, r}}$ and $\alpha_{o_{i, r}}$ are the fixed technical coefficients of crushed seeds in the production of meals and oils, respectively. Equation (4) is the crush margin $\left(M R G_{i, r}\right.$, an input margin in per unit) equation which measures the value added of producing meals and oils from the crushed seed. The margins capture the cost of capital, labor and energy in the crushing process. It is derived from the formula $C s_{i, r}\left(P s_{i, r}+M R G_{i, r}\right)=\left(P o_{i, r} Y o_{i, r}+P m_{i, r} Y m_{i, r}\right)$ by replacing Equation (2) and (3) in it. Equation (5) defines the demand of crushed seeds ( $C s_{i, r}$ ) for seed i in region r, which is actual an isoelastic supply function of the seed volume produced. $C s_{i, r}$ is depending on the crush margin $\left(M R G_{i, r}\right) . A_{i, r}$ is a scale parameter for crush demand. $\varepsilon m g_{i, r}$ is crush margin elasticity, which is the price elasticity of crushing seeds supply
based on the potential revenue (margins) of the crushing activity. In the third production stage, a composite vegetable oil is produced by blending crop-specific oil to meet the demand of final consumers (households) and the intermediate demand of biodiesel producers. Strong, but imperfect, substitution is assumed relying on a Constant Elasticity of Supply (CES) technology. It is assumed that one unit of the composite oil demanded by the biodiesel sector is transformed into one unit of biodiesel production (Figure 1). Thus, the production of biodiesel $\left(Y b_{r}\right)$ is equivalent to its demand from the biodiesel sector $\left(\right.$ Ccomp $\left._{f, r}\right)$, as indicated in Equation (6). Equation (7) represents the margin of biodiesel production $\left(M R G b_{r}\right)$, which is determined by the differences between the domestic biodiesel price $\left(\mathrm{Pb}_{r}\right)$ and the domestic composite oil price $\left(\mathrm{Pcomp}_{f, r}\right)$. Similar with the demand of crushed seeds in Equation (5), the demand of composite oil by the biodiesel sector (Ccomp $f, r \forall f=$ biodiesel sector) in Equation (8) is also an isoelastic supply variable which depends on the biodiesel margin elasticity $\left(M R G b_{r}\right) . K b_{f, r}$ is a scale parameter, and $\varepsilon m g b_{r}$ is the margin elasticity of composite oil production for biodiesel refinery, which is also the price elasticity of composite oil supply.

### 2.1.3 Demand side

In the demand section: Equation (9) is the final demand function for meal in region r $\left(C m_{i, r}\right)$ by the livestock sector. $K m_{i, r}$ is a scale parameter, $P m_{i, r}$ is the price of meals, and $\sigma_{m_{i, r}}$ is the price elasticity of meal demand. There is perfect substitution between different types of meals. Different types of oils are consumed by either the households or biodiesel sector, and the demands of composite oil from those two agents are isoelastic. Therefore, the demand for composite oil by households ( $\operatorname{Ccomp}_{f, r} \forall f=$ households) is depicted in Equation (10). $K o_{f, r}$ is the scale parameters. $\sigma_{\mathrm{l}_{r}}$ is the price elasticity of oil demand by households. Equations (10)-(12) are derived from the first order condition of utility maximization based on CES assumption, which ensures different types of oils are imperfect substitutes for both households and biodiesel producers. $\mathrm{aC}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}}$ is the share parameter of different types of oils to the total composite oils. $\mathrm{Co}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r}}$ is the demand of each type of oil i by either household or biodiesel sector in region $r$. $\sigma_{\mathrm{o}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}}}$ is the elasticity of substitution among different types of oils. Equation (13) represents the final demand of biodiesel by
households in region $r\left(C b_{r}\right)$, which is isoelastic and depends negatively on the price of biodiesel in domestic market $\left(P b_{r}\right) . \sigma_{b_{i, r}}$ is the price elasticity of the biodiesel final demand.

Import and export activities are not explicitly shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2. However, this model assumed the total demand of each type of commodity is the sum of its domestic consumption and net exports, which inducing Equations (14)-(17). $X s_{i, r}, X o_{i, r}$, $X m_{i, r}$, and $X b_{r}$ are the net exports of crushed seeds, meals, oils, and biodiesels based different types of seeds. Equations (18)-(21) are the international prices parity equations for different types of seeds. The international prices $\left(P^{*}\right)$ are equal to the domestic prices $(P)$ in the condition of without market distortion, in the form of export taxes ( $\operatorname{texp}_{i, r}$ ) or import tariffs $\left(\right.$ timp $\left._{i, r}\right)$. Equations (22)-(25) represent the sum of net trade flows for each type of goods in region r in the international market ( $\sum_{r} X_{i, r}$ ). As we assume the partial equilibrium is established in the international market for oilseed value chain, the sum of net export for each commodity market is equal to zero.

## 3 Modeling in GAMS

The theoretical model described in the previous section is implemented using the GAMS software. This section describes the different components of the applied model.

Table 2 summarizes the contents of the different files in the GAMS files package for the oilseed value chain partial equilibrium model. File "erato_mсp.gms" is the main file which includes all items in the model framework. File "variablesbase.gms" declares the main parameters used for baseline case of the MCP model. The .gdx format file "data.gdx" stores all initial values of parameters and variables appeared in the MCP model for different scenarios, it is converted from an Excel file ("data_erato.xls"). Gdx format has the advantages of large sparse data storage and easy data loading in GAMS compared to excel format. File "varinit_mcp.gms" and "sim_mсp.gms" are used to run the simulations of different trade policies. File "results_mcp.gms" is used to calculate the percentage changes of variables in simulations, so as to compare the model solution of each simulation scenario with that of the base case. Gdx file "res_mcp.gdx" stores the output of model solutions for all seven scenarios.

Table 2. File Structure in GAMS/MCP Modeling System for Oilseed Value Chain

| File name | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| erato_mcp.gms | all items (sets, parameters, and variables) that appear in the <br> model equations. |
| variablesbase.gms | define the parameters used for the baseline case. <br> store the initial values of parameters and variables before the <br> new equilibrium is established. <br> assign the initial values to variables for the purposes of <br> running different scenarios of the trade policy simulation <br> simulation file, including one baseline scenario and seven <br> scenarios of trade policy simulation <br> compare the output of simulation scenario with the base case <br> varinit_mcp.gms <br> Store the output of model solutions |
| sesults_mcpf.gms |  |
| res_mcp.gdx |  |

### 3.1 Data

The data of commodity domestic production, domestic consumption, and trade are obtained from FAO-food balance sheet (2007). The data of import tariff are generated by Laborde (2010), and the data of export taxes are obtained from various sources, including Trade Policy Reports, WTO, and OECD. The parameters of price elasticity and crush margin elasticity are obtained from FAPRI elasticity database (2011). Due to the missing data for some countries, assumed values are assigned to certain parameters (Appendix A). In specific, a value of 0.3 is assigned to the missing data of price elasticity of supply area (SIGA(i,r)); a value of -0.3 is assigned to the missing data of the price elasticity of meal demand (SIGM(i,r)). Besides, the price elasticity of oil demand (SIGL(r)) for Argentina is assumed to be -0.28 in this study, while that for other countries are assumed to be -0.38 . The elasticity of substitution between different oils (SIGO(i,f,r)) is assumed to be 2 . The price elasticity of biodiesel demand $(S I G B(r))$ is assumed to be -0.25 . Since no data resource of biodiesel margin elasticity is currently available, the values of crush margin elasticity of soybean (CRMG("soy",r)) are used instead.

```
SIGA(i,r) = 0.3;
SIGA(i,r) = elast(i,r,'Area');
SIGL(r) = -0.38;
```

```
SIGL('ARG') = -0.28;
SIGO(i,"h",r) = 2;
SIGO(i,"bio",r) = 2;
SIGM(i,r) = -0.3;
SIGM(i,r) = elast(i,r,'Mealp');
SIGB(r) = -0.25;
```

All the data are gathered in the data_erato.xls file. The contents of this file can be modified to accommodate new products, sectors or regions. In such case, the "sets" section of the erato_mcp.gms file has to be modified accordingly.

### 3.2 Solver and model equations

### 3.2.1 What's MCP and why we use it

We set up a model based on the framework of partial equilibrium model of oilseed value chain, and implement it as a Mixed Complementarity Problem (MCP) in GAMS language. MCP is a generalization of Non-linear complementarity problem (NCP). The mixed form of a complementarity condition involves a bounded variable $L_{i} \leq Z_{i} \leq U_{i}$ with an associated function $F_{i}(Z)$, it can be mathematically defined as (McCarl 2011):
solve for Z such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F_{i}(Z)=0 \text { and } L_{i} \leq Z_{i} \leq U_{i}, \text { or } \\
& F_{i}(Z) \geq 0 \text { and } Z_{i}=L_{i} \quad \text {,or }  \tag{26}\\
& F_{i}(Z) \leq 0 \text { and } Z_{i}=U_{i}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Z_{i}$ is a set of variables to be set; $F_{i}$ is a function; $L_{i}$ and $U_{i}$ are a set of upper and lower bounds on the variables ( $L_{i}$ may be -inf and $U_{i}$ may be $+\inf$ ). The number of $Z_{i}$ variables and the number of relations $F_{i}(Z)$ is equal. The above condition (26) states that either $Z_{i}$ must reach one of its bounds, or the function $F_{i}(Z)$ must be zero. This is critically important in developing efficient solution algorithms. Condition (26) can be written compactly as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(Z) \perp L \leq Z \leq U \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\perp$ ("perp") symbol indicates pair-wise complementarity between the function $F(Z)$ and the variable $Z$ and its bounds. The MCP problem does not have an objective function.

The global multimarket partial equilibrium model in this study could be formulated into classic non-linear programs (NLP). However, a MCP model has the advantages of incorporating the features found in agricultural mathematical programming model with
inequalities (for example, agriculture supply, foreign trade, and policy flexibility), while those features cannot readily be captured in strict-equality simultaneous equation systems (Lofgren and Robinson 1997). Also, computational evidence suggests that algorithms for solving MCP are relatively reliable and efficient, particularly for models which are not natural optimization problems (Rutherford 1995). Path is a large-scale MCP solver available through GAMS, and it is used to solve the MCP model in this study.

### 3.2.2 Define the complementarity relations

Cast as a multimarket partial equilibrium problem for oilseed value chain, a system of inequalities/equations and associated complementary slackness conditions is employed to drive the market net trade flow to zero. To solve the mixed complementarity problems, the model must satisfy the following conditions in the model statement:
(1) A consistent set of non-negative variables and inequalities where each variable is of the same dimension as associated inequality that it is complementary with,
(2) A consistent set of unbounded variables and equations where each equation is complementary with each unbounded variable,
(3) A model where no variable is complimentary with more than one inequality or equation.

The following model declaration statement in GAMS file "erato_mcp.gms" expresses the above conditions by using period to associate each variable with its complementary inequality/equation:

```
Model OSDS
/
EQ_Ys.Ys
EQ_Ym.Ym
EQ_Yo.Yl
EQ_MG.MRG
EQ CR.CR
EQ_Yb.Yb
EQ_MGb.MRGb
EQ_CPb.CPb
EQ_Cm.Cm
EQ_CPh.CPh
EQ_Cob.Clb
EQ_Coh.Clh
EQ_PCb.PCb
```

```
EQ PCh.PCh
EQ_Cb.Cb
EQ_Ps.Ps
EQ_Pm.Pm
EQ_Po.Pl
EQ_Pb.Pb
EQ_MMs.PCIFs
EQ_MMm.PCIFm
EQ_MMO.PCIFl
EQ_MMb.PCIFb
EQ_Xs.Xs
EQ_Xm.Xm
EQ Xo.XI
EQ_Xb.Xb /;
```

All decision variables except net trade volume (e.g. $\mathrm{Xs}, \mathrm{Xl}, \mathrm{Xm}$, and Xb ) are positively defined, as they must be restricted to be positive to make economic sense (e.g. production, consumption, and price). Specifically, the complementary relations between variables and inequalities/equations are shown in the Table 3 below. Positive variables are paired with inequalities, so the dimensions of the items are the same.

## Table 3. Complementary Items

| Positive Variables In GAMS | Inequality Name in GAMS | Equation Number in Model Framework |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ys(i,r) | EQ_Ys(i,r) | (1) |
| Ym(i,r) | EQ_Ym(i,r) | (2) |
| Yl(i,r) | EQ_Yo(i,r) | (3) |
| MRG(i,r) | EQ_MG(i,r) | (4) |
| CR(i,r) | EQ_CR(i,r) | (5) |
| $\mathrm{Yb}(\mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Yb(r) | (6) |
| MRGb(r) | EQ_MGb(r) | (7) |
| $\mathrm{CPb}(\mathrm{r})$ | EQ_CPb(r) | (8) |
| Cm(i,fr, | EQ_Cm(i,fr) | (9) |
| CPh(r) | EQ_CPh(r) | (10) |
| $\mathrm{Clb}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Cob(i,r) | (11) |
| $\mathrm{Clh}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Coh(i,r) | (11) |
| PCh(r) | EQ_PCh(r) | (12) |
| $\mathrm{PCb}(\mathrm{r})$ | EQ_PCb(r) | (12) |
| $\mathrm{Cb}(\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Cb(f,r) | (13) |
| $\mathrm{Ps}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Ps(i,r) | (14) |
| Pm(i,r) | EQ_Pm(i,r) | (15) |
| $\mathrm{Pl}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Po(i,r) | (16) |
| $\mathrm{Pb}(\mathrm{r})$ | EQ_Pb(r) | (17) |
| PCIFs(i) | EQ_Xs(i,r) | (18) |
| PCIFm(i) | EQ_Xm(i,r) | (19) |
| PCIFl(i) | EQ_Xo(i,r) | (20) |
| PCIFb | EQ_Xb(r) | (21) |


| Unbounded <br> Variables in GAMS | Equation Name in <br> GAMS | Equation Number in <br> Model Framework |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{Xs}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQ_MMs $(\mathrm{i})$ | $(22)$ |
| $\mathrm{Xm}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQMMm(i) | $(23)$ |
| $\mathrm{Xl}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})$ | EQMMo(i) | $(24)$ |
| $\mathrm{Xb}(\mathrm{r})$ | EQMMb | $(25)$ |

The definition of subscripts, parameters, and variables in the model, as well as their corresponding GAMS item are depicted in Table 1. The variables in Table 3 are declared in GAMS using the statement below:

```
Positive Variables
Ys(i,r) 'Domestic production seeds'
Ym(i,r) 'Domestic production meals'
Yl(i,r) 'Domestic production oils'
MRG(i,r) 'Crush margin'
CR(i,r) 'Crush seed demand'
Yb(r) 'Domestic production biofuels'
MRGb(r) 'Biofuel margin'
CPb(r) 'Intermediate demand of composite oils by biofuel sector'
Cm(i,f,r) 'Final demand of meals'
CPh(r) 'Final demand of composite oils by households'
Clb(i,r) 'Intermediate demand of oils by biofuels sector by oil type'
Clh(i,r) 'Final demand of oils by households by type of oil'
PCb(r) 'Price of composite oil consumed by biofuel sector'
PCh(r) 'Price of composite oil consumed by households'
Cb(f,r) 'Final demand of biofuels'
Ps(i,r) 'Domestic price seeds'
Pm(i,r) 'Domestic price meals'
Pl(i,r) 'Domestic price oils'
Pb(r) 'Domestic price biofuels'
PCIFs(i) 'International price of seeds'
PCIFm(i) 'International price of meals'
PCIFl(i) 'International price of oils'
PCIFb 'International price of biofuels'
;
Variables
Xs(i,r) 'Net trade of seeds'
Xm(i,r) 'Net trade of meals'
Xl(i,r) 'Net trade of oils'
Xb(r) 'Net trade of biofuels'
;
```

The inequalities and equations are declared in GAMS as follows:

## Equations

| EQ_Ys | seed production |
| :--- | :--- |
| EQ_Ym | meal production |

```
EQ_Yo oil production
EQ_MG crush margin equation
EQ_CR crush demand
EQ_Yb biofuels production
EQ_MGb bfuel margin equation
EQ_CPb demand for composite oils (biofuel)
EQ_Cm final demand meals
EQ_CPh demand for composite oils (households)
EQ_Cob final demand oils (biofuel)
EQ_Coh final demand oils (households)
EQ_PCb price of composite oil (biofuel)
EQ_PCh price of composite oil (households)
EQ_Cb final demand biofuels
EQ_Ps market clearing condition seeds
EQ_Pm market clearing condition meals
EQ_Po market clearing condition oils
EQ_Pb market clearing condition biofuels
EQ_MMs market clearing condition international trade seeds
EQ_MMm market clearing condition international trade meals
EQ_MMo market clearing condition international trade oils
EQ_MMb market clearing condition international trade biofuels
EQ_Xs import price equation seeds
EQ_Xm import price equation meals
EQ_Xo import price equation oils
EQ_Xb import price equation biofuels
;
```

The inequalities and equations are specified in GAMS as follows. The function of each inequality or equation follows its name by two periods and spaces.

```
**Supply
EQ_Ys(i,r).. Ys(i,r) =g= Ks(i,r)*Area(i,r)*(Ps(i,r)**SIGA(i,r));
EQ_Ym(i,r).. Ym(i,r) =g= CR(i,r)*alpha("meal",i,r);
EQ_Yo(i,r).. Yl(i,r) =g= CR(i,r)*alpha("Oil",i,r);
EQ_MG(i,r).. MRG(i,r) =g= (Pl(i,r)*alpha("oil",i,r)+
Pm(i,r)*alpha("meal",i,r))*(1+SUBS(i,r))-Ps(i,r);
EQ_CR(i,r).. CR(i,r) = g= A(i,r)*MRG(i,r)**CRMG(i,r);
EQ_Yb(r).. CPb (r) =g= Yb (r);
EQ_MG(i,r).. MRG(i,r) =g= (Pl(i,r)*alpha("Oil",i,r)+
Pm(i,r)*alpha("meal",i,r))*(1+SUBs(i,r))-Ps(i,r);
EQ_CPb (r).. CPb (r) = g= Ko("Bio",r)*MRGb (r)**CRMG("SOY",r);
** Demand
EQ_Cm(i,f,r).. Cm(i,f,r) =g= Km(i,f,r)*Pm(i,r)**SIGM(i,r);
EQ_CPh(r).. CPh(r) =g= Ko("H",r)*PCh(r)**SIGL(r);
```

```
EQ_Cob(i,r).. Clb(i,r) =g=
a_\overline{C}(i,"bio",r)*CPb(r)*(PCb}(r)/Pl(i,r))**SIGO(i,"bio",r)
EQ_Coh(i,r).. Clh(i,r) =g= a_C(i,"h",r)*CPh(r)*(PCh(r)/Pl(i,r))**SIGO(i,"h",r);
EQ_PCb(r).. PCb(r)*CPb(r) =g= SUM(i,Clb(i,r)*Pl(i,r));
EQ_PCh(r).. PCh(r)*CPh(r) =g= SUM(i,Clh(i,r)*Pl(i,r));
EQ_Cb(f,r).. Cb(f,r) =g= Kb(f,r)*Pb(r)**SIGB(r);
**Trade
EQ_Ps(i,r).. Ys(i,r) =g= CR(i,r) + Xs(i,r);
EQ_Pm(i,r).. Ym(i,r) =g= SUM(f,Cm(i,f,r)) + Xm(i,r);
EQ_Po(i,r).. Yl(i,r) =g= Clb(i,r) + Clh(i,r) + Xl(i,r);
EQ_Pb(r).. Yb(r) =g= SUM(f,Cb(f,r)) + Xb(r);
EQ_Xs(i,r).. Ps(i,r) = g= PCIFs(i)*(1+timp("seed",i,r))/(1+texp("seed",i,r));
EQ_Xm(i,r).. Pm(i,r) =g= PCIFm(i)*(1+timp("meal",i,r))/(1+texp("meal",i,r));
EQ_Xo(i,r).. Pl(i,r) =g= PCIFl(i)*(1+timp("oil",i,r))/(1+texp("○il",i,r));
EQ Xb(r).. Pb(r) = g=
PCIFb*(1+timp("bfuel","soy",r))/(1+texp("bfuel","soy",r));
EQ_MMs(i).. SUM(r,Xs(i,r)) =e= 0;
EQ_MMm(i).. SUM(r,Xm(i,r)) =e= 0;
EQ_MMo(i).. SUM(r,Xl(i,r)) =e= 0;
EQ_MMb.. SUM(r,Xb(r)) =e= 0;
```


### 3.2.3 Declare the options for the model resolution

The .scaleopt command sets the scale option to 1 so as to turn on the scaling feature in GAMS. It is basically used to scale the percentage change of commodity domestic production in the simulation outputs. This statement should be inserted somewhere after the model statement and before the solve statement (Rosenthal 2011). The options of limrow=100 and limcol=100 limit the number of rows and columns listed in the output file (.lst format) to 100 , so as to reduce the size of output file. The $M C P=P A T H$ command tells GAMS to use PATH solver to solve this MCP problem. The "solve OSDS using MCP" command tells GAMS to solve the OSDS model as a mixed complementary program.

```
option limrow=100;
option limcol=100;
option MCP=PATH;
Solve OSDS using MCP;
```


### 3.2.4 Simulations of trade policy in the form of export taxes and import tariffs

The implementations of trade-distorting policies, such as export taxes and import tariffs, have different effects on the agricultural productions in different countries and commodities' international prices. The removal of export taxes of a commodity is expected to raise its domestic price and decrease its international price, which will encourage the domestic production. In addition, due to the different rates of export taxes that are applied along the oilseed value chain for different sectors, the removal of all export taxes have different effects on the productions of seeds, oils, and meals, and it might foster changes in the production structure. In contrast, the removal of import tariffs has the opposite effect on the commodity prices and production. For the counties in which both export taxes and import tariffs are implemented, the net effect of eliminating these two trade policies has an ambiguous effect on the price, production, and international trade flow. Thus, it is important to simulate the removal of export taxes and import tariffs on the oilseed products.

Based on the base case in which the influences of both export taxes and import tariffs are considered, six simulation scenarios are included in this study to analyze the effects of trade policy changes on the production, consumption, net export, price, and economic surplus for different commodity markets (Table 4). Export taxes on raw materials (e.g. seed products) have an effect equivalent to a production subsidy for downstream industries. Simulation scenario 4 and 5 are conducted to calculate the percentages of equivalent subsidies on seeds and oils.

## Table 4. Descriptions of Base Case and Simulation Scenarios

| Scenario | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| Base Case | Export taxes and import tariffs are considered |

Simulation Scenario 1 Remove all export taxes
Simulation Scenario 2 Remove import tariffs of major import countries (EU, US, and China)
Simulation Scenario 3 Remove all export taxes and import tariffs of major import countries (EU, US, and China)

Simulation Scenario 4 Subsidy equivalent to export taxes on seeds
Simulation Scenario 5 Subsidy equivalent to export taxes on oils
Simulation Scenario 6 Remove all export taxes and import tariffs
Simulation statements in GAMS are shown below. Parameter TEXPsim(type, i,r,sim) represents the export taxes of commodity type based on seed $i$ that is imposed in region $r$ for simulation scenario sim. The removal of export taxes is accomplished by assign a value of zero to this parameter, for example "TEXPsim(type,i,r, 'sim1') = 0". Similarly, TIMPsim(type, i,r,sim) represents the import tariffs.

```
***Simulation scenenarios
Set
sim /BASE base case
    SIM1 removal all export taxes
    SIM2 removal import tariffs (EU US and China)
    SIM3 removal export taxes and import tariffs (EU US and China)
    SIM4 subsidy equivalent to export taxes on seeds
    SIM5 subsidy equivalent to export taxes on oils
    SIM6 removal of all export taxes and tariffs
    /
simcur(sim) current simulation
;
SIMCUR(SIM) = NO;
SIMCUR(SIM) = YES;
Parameter
TEXPsim(type,i,r,sim) export taxes
TIMPsim(type,i,r,sim) import tariffs
MRGsim(i,r,sim) crush margin
MRGbsim(r,sim) biodiesel margin
Ymsim(i,r,sim) domestic meal production
Ylsim(i,r,sim) domestic oil production
Ybsim(r,sim) domestic biodiesel production
;
TEXPsim(type,i,r,sim)= TEXP(type,i,r);
TIMPsim(type,i,r,sim)= TIMP(type,i,r);
MRGsim(i,r,sim) = MRGO(i,r);
MRGbsim(r,sim) = MRGbO(r);
Ymsim(i,r,sim) = YO("meal",i,r);
Ylsim(i,r,sim) = YO("oil",i,r);
Ybsim(r,sim) = YO("bfuel","soy",r);
*SIMI: removal all export taxes
TEXPsim(type,i,r,'sim1') = 0;
*SIM2: removal import tariffs of EU US and China
TIMPsim(type,i,'EU','sim2') = 0;
```

```
TIMPsim(type,i,'USA','sim2') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'CHN','sim2') = 0;
*SIM3: removal all export taxes and import tariffS of EU US and China
TEXPsim(type,i,r,'sim3') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'EU','sim3') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'USA','sim3') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'CHN','sim3') = 0;
*SIM4: compute the subsidy equivalent to export taxes on seeds
TEXPsim("seed",i,r,'sim4') = 0;
MRGsim (i,r,'sim4') = MRGsim(i,r,'base');
Ymsim(i,r,sim) = Ymsim(i,r,"base");
Ylsim(i,r,sim) = Ylsim(i,r,"base");
*SIM5: compute the subsidy equivalent to export taxes on oil
TEXPsim("oil",i,r,'sim5') = 0;
MRGbsim(r,'sim5') = MRGbsim(r,'base');
Ybsim(r,sim) = Ybsim(r,"base");
*SIM6: removal of all export taxes and import tariffs
TEXPsim(type,i,r,'sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'EU','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'USA','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'CHN','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'ARG','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'MYA','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'IDN','sim6') = 0;
;
```


### 3.2.5 Interpret GAMS output

The model solution of the base case is displayed in the .lst output file, which contains the optimal values of all decision variables as follows:


The model solutions of simulation scenarios are generated based on the above output. As mentioned previously, the file "results_mcp.gms" is used to calculate the percentage changes of variables in each simulation scenario based on the base case output. The associated parameters are divided into six categories: production, price, consumption, net trade, crush margin and economic surplus, as defined below:

## Parameters

```
* Production
Ysxp(i,r,sim)
Ylxp(i,r,sim)
Ymxp(i,r,sim)
Ybxp(r,sim)
Ysvalxp(i,r,sim)
Ylvalxp(i,r,sim)
Ymvalxp(i,r,sim)
Ybvalxp(r,sim)
* Price
```

$\operatorname{Psxp}(i, r, s i m) \quad$ Percentage change of domestic seeds price
Plxp(i,r,sim) Percentage change of domestic oils price

| $\operatorname{Pmxp}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}$, sim) | Percentage change of domestic meals price |
| :---: | :---: |
| Pbxp (r,sim) | Percentage change of domestic biodiesel price |
| PChxp (r,sim) | Percentage change of domestic price of composite oils by household |
| PCbxp (r,sim) | Percentage change of domestic price of composite oils by biodiesel |
| PCIFsxp (i, sim) | Percentage change of international price of seeds |
| PCIFlxp(i,sim) | Percentage change of international price of oils |
| PCIFmxp(i,sim) | Percentage change of international price of meails |
| PCIFbxp(sim) <br> * Consumption | Percentage change of international price of biodiesel |
| Crxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of intermediate consumption volume of seeds |
| Clibxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption volume of oils by household |
| Clhxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption volume of oils by biodiesel |
| CPhxp (r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption volume of composite oils by household sector |
| CPbxp (r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption volume of composite oils by biodiesel sector |
| Cmxp(i,f,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption volume of meals |
| Cbxp(f,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption volume of biodiesel |
| CRvalxp (i,r,sim) | Percentage change of intermediate consumption value (\$) of seeds |
| Clhvalxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption value(\$) of oils by household sector |
| Clbvalxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption value (\$) of oils by biodiesel sector |
| CPhvalxp(r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption value(\$) of composite oils by household sector |
| CPbvalxp(r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption value(\$) of composite oils by biodiesel sector |
| Cmvalxp(i,f,r,sim) | Percentage change of final consumption value(\$) of meals |
| Cbvalxp(f,r,sim) <br> * Net trade | Percentage change of final consumption value(\$) of biodiesel |
| Xsxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade volume of seeds from region |
| Xlxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade volume of oils from region r |
| Xmxp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade volume of seeds from region r |
| Xbxp (r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade volume of biodiesel from region |
| Xsvalxp_dp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of seeds from region r base on domestic price |
| Xlvalxp_dp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of oils from region r base on domestic price |
| Xmvalxp_dp(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of meals from region r base on domestic price |
| Xbvalxp_dp(r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of biodiesel from region $r$ base on domestic price |
| Xsvalxp_ip(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value (\$) of seeds from region r base on international price |
| Xlvalxp_ip(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of oils from region r base on international price |
| Xmvalxp_ip(i,r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of meals from region r base on international price |
| Xbvalxp_ip(r,sim) | Percentage change of net trade value(\$) of biodiesel from region r base on international price |
| Crush Margin |  |
| $\operatorname{MRGxp}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r}$, sim) | Percentage change of crush margin |
| MRGbxp(r,sim) <br> * Economic Surplus | Percentage change of biodiesel crush margin |
| Csurplus (r,sim) | Variation in consumer surpluses from removal of export taxes on oils |
| Psurplus (r,sim) | Variation in producer surpluses from removal of export taxes on oils |
| Harberger (r,sim) | Harberger triangle (deadweight loss associated with trade intervention) |

Parameters in the former five categories are directly generated from the percentage value changes of decision variables, while parameters in the economic surplus category are calculated based on the formulas of consumer surplus, producer surplus, and deadweight loss (Harberger's triangle).

The following statement is an example of calculating the percentage change of domestic production volume for seeds, oils, meals, and biodiesel, respectively.

```
Ysxp(i,r,sim)$Ysres(i,r,'BASE') = (Ysres(i,r,sim)/Ysres(i,r,'BASE')-1)*100;
Ylxp(i,r,sim)$Ylres(i,r,'BASE') = (Ylres(i,r,sim)/Ylres(i,r,'BASE')-1)*100;
Ymxp(i,r,sim)$Ymres(i,r,'BASE') = (Ymres(i,r,sim)/Ymres(i,r,'BASE')-1)*100;
Ybxp (r,sim)$Ybres(r,'BASE') = (Ybres(r,sim)/Ybres(r,'BASE')-1)*100;
```

The following statement is an example of calculating the value change in consumer surplus Csurplus( $r$,sim) and producer surplus Psurplus( $r$,sim) from removal of export taxes on oils for each country, as well as the deadweight loss Harberger ( $r$,sim) associated with implementing the tax policies in domestic market.

```
Csurplus(r,sim) = -((CPhres(r,sim)*(PChres(r,sim) -
PChres(r,"BASE")) +((CPhres(r,"BASE")-CPhres(r,sim))*((PChres (r,sim) -
PChres(r,"BASE"))) ) +(CPbres(r,sim)*(PCbres(r,sim)-PCbres(r,"BASE")) +
((CPbres(r,"BASE")-CPbres(r,sim))*((PCbres(r,sim)-PCbres(r,"BASE")))))))/2 ;
Psurplus(r,sim) = sum(i, Ylres(i,r,sim)*(Plres(i,r,sim)-Plres(i,r,'base')) -
((Ylres(i,r,sim)-Ylres(i,r,'base'))*(Plres(i,r,sim)-Plres(i,r,'base')))/2);
Harberger(r,sim) = (((CPhres(r,"BASE")-CPhres(r,sim))*((PChres(r,sim) -
PChres(r,"BASE"))) +(CPbres(r,"BASE") -CPbres(r,sim))*(PCbres(r,sim) -
PCbres(r,"BASE")))/2) + sum(i, (Ylres(i,r,sim)-
Ylres(i,r,'base'))*(Plres(i,r,sim)-Plres(i,r,'base'))/2);
```

Values of the above parameters are loaded into the .gdx file "res_mcp.gdx". For example, the following graph shows the value of parameter $\mathrm{Ybxp}(\mathrm{r}, \mathrm{sim})$, the percentage change of domestic biodiesel production volume, in different simulation scenario. The yellow highlighted figure represents that US's domestic biodiesel production will increase by $6.59 \%$ if all the export taxes and import tariffs are eliminated in global extent.


## 4 Appendix A, GAMS code of main file erato_mcp.gms

```
** Partial equilibrium model for oilseeds market. 11 countries
** Four types of commodities: oilseeds, meals and oils, and biofuels.
** Four oilseeds: soybean, rapeseeds, sunflower, palm nuts
** Revised on Dec 6, }201
```

option limrow = 1;
**Set definition
Sets
type product type /seed
meal
oil
bfuel /
i sectors /soy
sunf
rape
palm /
$r$ countries /ARG Argentina
BRA Brazil
USA United States
CHN China
IND India
IDN Indonesia
EU European Union
MYA Malaysia
UKR Ukraine
CAN Canada
ROW Rest of the world /
f final consumers /H Households
Bio Bioenergy/
alias $(r, s),(i, j) ;$
**Calibration of the model
\$include . \variablesbase_bf.gms
\$call "GDXXRW . \data_oct_bf.xls O=. \data.gdx Index=Index!A1:F11"
PARAMETER
Yinit International Production
ICinit Intermediate Consumption??
Cinit
Xinit International Net Export
elast
prices
dd
exptax
areas
PARAMETER
*_--- TAXES AND SUBVENTIONS ----
TEXP (type, i,r) 'Export tax rate'
TIMP (type,i,r) 'Import duties'

```
*---- PARAMETERS ----
Area(i,r) 'Area'
SIGA(i,r) 'Supply area elasticity'
CRMG(i,r) 'Crush margin elasticity'
SIGO(i,f,r) 'Elasticity of substitution among oils'
SIGL(r) 'Price elasticity of demand of oils'
SIGM(i,r) 'Price elasticity of demand of meals'
SIGB(r) 'Price elasticity of demand of biodiesel'
Ks(i,r) 'Scale parameter in isoelastic supply functions'
Ko(f,r) 'Scale parameter in isoelastic demand functions (oils)'
Km(i,f,r) 'Scale parameter in isoelastic demand functions (meals)'
Kb(f,r) 'Scale parameter in isoelastic demand functions (biodiesel)'
alpha(type,i,r)'Coefficient for production of oils and meals'
a_C(i,f,r) 'Scale parameter in composite oil demand'
SUBs(i,r) 'Subsidy equivalent for production of oils and seeds'
SUBb(r) 'Subsidy equivalent for production of biofuel'
A(i,r) 'Scale parameter crush demand'
;
$GDXIN .\data.gdx
$LOAD Yinit
$LOAD ICinit
$LOAD Cinit
$LOAD Xinit
$LOAD elast
$LOAD prices
$LOAD dd
$LOAD exptax
$LOAD areas
$GDXIN
;
**Calibration
Area(i,r) = Areas(i,r,"Ha");
TEXP(type,i,r) = exptax(type,i,r);
TIMP(type,i,r) = dd(type,i,r);
SIGA(i,r) = 0.3;
SIGA(i,r) = elast(i,r,'Area');
SIGL(r) = -0.38;
SIGL('ARG') = -0.28;
SIGO(i,"h",r) = 2;
SIGO(i,"bio",r) = 2;
SIGM(i,r) = -0.3;
SIGM(i,r) = elast(i,r,'Mealp');
SIGB(r) = -0.25;
SUBS(i,r) = 0;
SUBb (r) = 0;
```

```
YO(type,i,r) = Yinit(type,i,r);
```

YO(type,i,r) = Yinit(type,i,r);
CRO(i,r) = ICinit(i,r,"seed");
CRO(i,r) = ICinit(i,r,"seed");
CO(type,i,f,r) = Cinit(type,i,f,r);
CO(type,i,f,r) = Cinit(type,i,f,r);
CPO(f,r) = sum(i,CO("Oil",i,f,r));
CPO(f,r) = sum(i,CO("Oil",i,f,r));
XO(type,i,r) = Xinit(type,i,r);
XO(type,i,r) = Xinit(type,i,r);
CRMG(i,r) = elast(i,r,'Crush');
CRMG(i,r) = elast(i,r,'Crush');
alpha(type,i,r) = 1;
alpha(type,i,r) = 1;
alpha("oil",i,r) $CRO(i,r) = YO("Oil",i,r)/CRO(i,r);
alpha("meal",i,r)$CRO(i,r) = YO("meal",i,r)/CRO(i,r);
PCIFO(type,i) = prices(type,i);

```
```

PCIFO("seed",i) = PCIFO("oil",i)*0.35;
PO(type,i,r) = PCIFO(type,i)/((1+TEXP(type,i,r))/(1+TIMP(type,i,r)));
PCO(f,r) $CPO(f,r) = sum(i,CO("Oil",i,f,r)*PO("Oil",i,r))/CPO(f,r);
Ks(i,r) = YO("seed",i,r)/(Area(i,r)*(PO("seed",i,r)**SIGA(i,r)));
Ko("h",r)$PCO("h",r) = CPO("h",r)/(PCO("h",r)**SIGL(r));
Km(i,f,r) = CO("meal",i,f,r)/(PO("meal",i,r)**SIGM(i,r));
a C(i,"bio",r) \$CPO("bio",r) =
CO("oil",i,"bio",r)/(CPO("bio",r)*(PCO("bio",r)/PO("Oil",i,r))**SIGO(i,"bio",r));
a_C(i,"h",r) \$CPO("h",r) =
CO}("Oil",i,"h",r)/(CPO("h",r)* (PCO("h",r)/PO("oil",i,r))**SIGO(i,"h",r))
Kb(f,r) $PO("bfuel","soy",r) = CO("bfuel","soy",f,r)/(PO("bfuel","soy",r)**SIGB(r));
MRGO(i,r)=
(PO("meal",i,r)*alpha("meal",i,r)+PO("Oil",i,r)*alpha("oil",i,r))*(1-SUBs(i,r)) -
PO("seed",i,r);
A(i,r)$MRGO(i,r)= CRO(i,r)/(MRGO(i,r)**CRMG(i,r));
MRGbO(r) = PO("bfuel","soy",r)* (1-SUBb(r))-PCO("Bio",r);
Ko("Bio",r)\$MRGbO(r)= CPO("Bio",r)/MRGbO(r)**CRMG ("soy",r);
;
Positive Variables
Ys(i,r) 'Domestic production seeds'
Ym(i,r) 'Domestic production meals'
Yl(i,r) 'Domestic production oils'
MRG(i,r) 'Crush margin'
CR(i,r) 'Crush seed demand'
Yb(r) 'Domestic production biofuels'
MRGb(r) 'Biofuel margin'
CPb(r) 'Intermediate demand of composite oils by biofuel sector'
Cm(i,f,r) 'Final demand of meals'
CPh(r) 'Final demand of composite oils by households'
Clb(i,r) 'Intermediate demand of oils by biofuels sector by oil type'
Clh(i,r) 'Final demand of oils by households by type of oil'
PCb(r) 'Price of composite oil consumed by biofuel sector'
PCh(r) 'Price of composite oil consumed by households'
Cb(f,r) 'Final demand of biofuels'
Ps(i,r) 'Domestic price seeds'
Pm(i,r) 'Domestic price meals'
Pl(i,r) 'Domestic price oils'
Pb(r) 'Domestic price biofuels'
PCIFs(i) 'International price of seeds'
PCIFm(i) 'International price of meals'
PCIFl(i) 'International price of oils'
PCIFb 'International price of biofuels'
;
Variables
Xs(i,r) 'Net trade of seeds'
Xm(i,r) 'Net trade of meals'
Xl(i,r) 'Net trade of oils'
Xb(r) 'Net trade of biofuels'
;
Equations
EQ_Ys seed production
EQ_Ym meal production
EQ_Yo oil production
EQ_MG crush margin equation
EQ_CR crush demand

```
```

EQ_Yb biofuels production
EQ_CPb demand for composite oils (biofuel)
EQ_Cm final demand meals
EQ_CPh demand for composite oils (households)
EQ_Cob final demand oils (biofuel)
EQ_Coh final demand oils (households)
EQ_PCb price of composite oil (biofuel)
EQ_PCh price of composite oil (households)
EQ_Cb final demand biofuels
EQ_Ps market clearing condition seeds
EQ_Pm market clearing condition meals
EQ_Po market clearing condition oils
EQ_Pb market clearing condition biofuels
EQ_MMs market clearing condition international trade seeds
EQ_MMm market clearing condition international trade meals
EQ_MMo market clearing condition international trade oils
EQ_MMb market clearing condition international trade biofuels
EQ_Xs import price equation seeds
EQ_Xm import price equation meals
EQ_Xo import price equation oils
EQ_Xb import price equation biofuels
;
**Supply
EQ_Ys(i,r).. YS(i,r) = g= Ks(i,r)*Area(i,r)*(Ps(i,r)**SIGA(i,r));
EQ_Ym(i,r).. Ym(i,r) =g= CR(i,r)*alpha("meal",i,r);
EQ_YO(i,r).. Yl(i,r) = g= CR(i,r)*alpha("Oil",i,r);
EQ_MG(i,r).. MRG(i,r) =g= (Pl(i,r)*alpha("Oil",i,r)+
Pm(i,r)*alpha("meal",i,r))*(1+SUBS(i,r))-Ps(i,r);
EQ_CR(i,r).. CR(i,r)=g=A(i,r)*MRG(i,r)**CRMG(i,r);
EQ_Yb(r).. CPb(r) = g= Yb (r);
EQ_MGb (r) .. MRGb (r)=g= Pb (r)* (1+SUBb (r))-PCb (r);
EQ_CPb(r).. CPb (r) = g= Ko("Bio",r)*MRGb (r)**CRMG("soy",r);
** Demand
EQ_Cm(i,f,r).. Cm(i,f,r) =g= Km(i,f,r)*Pm(i,r)**SIGM(i,r);
EQ_CPh(r).. CPh(r) =g= Ko("H",r)*PCh(r)**SIGL(r);
EQ_Cob(i,r).. Clb(i,r) =g= a_C(i,"bio",r)*CPb(r)*(PCb(r)/Pl(i,r))**SIGO(i,"bio",r);
EQ_Coh(i,r).. Clh(i,r) = g= a_C(i,"h",r)*CPh(r)*(PCh(r)/Pl(i,r))**SIGO(i,"h",r);
EQ_PCb(r).. PCb(r)*CPb(r) = g= SUM(i,Clb(i,r)*Pl(i,r));
EQ_PCh(r).. PCh(r)*CPh(r) = g= SUM(i,Clh(i,r)*Pl(i,r));
EQ_Cb(f,r).. Cb(f,r) = g= Kb(f,r)*Pb(r)**SIGB(r);
**Trade
EQ_Ps(i,r).. YS(i,r) =g= CR(i,r) + Xs(i,r);

```
```

EQ Pm(i,r).. Ym(i,r) = g= SUM(f,Cm(i,f,r)) + Xm(i,r);
EQ_Po(i,r).. Yl(i,r) =g= Clb(i,r) + Clh(i,r) + Xl(i,r);
EQ_Pb(r).. Yb(r) =g= SUM(f,Cb(f,r)) + Xb(r);
EQ_Xs(i,r).. Ps(i,r) = g= PCIFs(i)*(1+timp("seed",i,r))/(1+texp("seed",i,r));
EQ_Xm(i,r).. Pm(i,r) =g= PCIFm(i)*(1+timp("meal",i,r))/(1+texp("meal",i,r));
EQ_Xo(i,r).. Pl(i,r) =g= PCIFl(i)*(1+timp("Oil",i,r))/(1+texp("Oil",i,r));
EQ_Xb(r).. Pb(r) =g= PCIFb*(1+timp("bfuel","soy",r))/(1+texp("bfuel","soy",r));
EQ_MMS(i).. SUM(r,XS(i,r)) =e= 0;
EQ_MMm(i).. SUM(r,Xm(i,r)) =e= 0;
EQ_MMo(i).. SUM(r,Xl(i,r)) =e= 0;
EQ_MMb.. SUM (r,Xb (r)) =e= 0;

```
\$include . \varinit mcp bf.gms
Model OSDS
/
EQ_Ys.Ys
EQ_Ym.Ym
EQ_Yo.Yl
EQ_MG.MRG
EQ_CR.CR
EQ_Yb.Yb
EQ_MGb.MRGb
EQ_CPb.CPb
EQ_Cm.Cm
EQ_CPh.CPh
EQ_Cob.Clb
EQ_Coh.Clh
EQ_PCb. PCb
EQ_PCh. PCh
EQ_Cb.Cb
EQ Ps.Ps
EQ_Pm.Pm
EQ_Po.Pl
EQ_Pb. Pb
EQ_MMs.PCIFs
EQ_MMm.PCIFm
EQ_MMo.PCIFl
EQ_MMb.PCIFb
EQ_Xs.Xs
EQ_Xm.Xm
EQ_Xo.Xl
EQ_Xb.Xb
/;
osds.scaleopt \(=1\);
option limrow=100;
```

option limcol=100;
option MCP=PATH ;
solve osds using mcp;
\$include sim_mcp_bf_Monica.gms

```
\$include results_mcp_bf.gms

\section*{5 Appendix B, GAMS code of simulation file sim_mср.gms}
```

***Simulation scenenarios
Set
sim /BASE base case
SIM1 removal all export taxes
SIM2 removal import tariffs (EU US and China)
SIM3 removal export taxes and import tariffs (EU US and China)
SIM4 subsidy equivalent to export taxes on seeds
SIM5 subsidy equivalent to export taxes on oils
SIM6 removal of all export taxes and tariffs
/
simcur(sim) current simulation
;
SIMCUR(SIM) = NO;
SIMCUR(SIM) = YES;
Parameter
TEXPsim(type,i,r,sim) export taxes
TIMPsim(type,i,r,sim) import tariffs
MRGsim(i,r,sim) crush margin
MRGbsim(r,sim) biodiesel margin
Ymsim(i,r,sim) domestic meal production
Ylsim(i,r,sim) domestic oil production
Ybsim(r,sim) domestic biodiesel production
;
TEXPsim(type,i,r,sim)= TEXP(type,i,r);
TIMPsim(type,i,r,sim)= TIMP(type,i,r);
MRGsim(i,r,sim) = MRGO(i,r);
MRGbsim(r,sim) = MRGbO(r);
Ymsim(i,r,sim) = YO("meal",i,r);
Ylsim(i,r,sim) = YO("Oil",i,r);
Ybsim(r,sim) = YO("bfuel","soy",r);
*SIM1: removal all export taxes
TEXPsim(type,i,r,'sim1') = 0;
*SIM2: removal import tariffs of EU US and China
TIMPsim(type,i,'EU','sim2') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'USA','sim2') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'CHN','sim2') = 0;
*SIM3: removal all export taxes and import tariffs of EU US and China
TEXPsim(type,i,r,'sim3') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'EU','sim3') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'USA','sim3') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'CHN','sim3') = 0;
*SIM4: compute the subsidy equivalent to export taxes on seeds
TEXPsim("seed",i,r,'sim4') = 0;
MRGsim (i,r,'sim4') = MRGsim(i,r,'base');
Ymsim(i,r,sim) = Ymsim(i,r,"base");
Ylsim(i,r,sim) = Ylsim(i,r,"base");
*SIM5: compute the subsidy equivalent to export taxes on oil
TEXPsim("oil",i,r,'sim5') = 0;
MRGbsim(r,'sim5') = MRGbsim(r,'base');
Ybsim(r,sim) = Ybsim(r,"base");
*SIM6: removal of all export taxes and import tariffs

```
```

TEXPsim(type,i,r,'sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'EU','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'USA','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'CHN','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'ARG','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'MYA','sim6') = 0;
TIMPsim(type,i,'IDN','sim6') = 0;

```
;
PARAMETER
Ysres(i,r,sim) 'Domestic production of seeds'
Ylres(i,r,sim) 'Domestic production of oils'
Ymres(i,r,sim) 'Domestic production of meals'
Ybres (r,sim)
Psres(i,r,sim) 'Domestic price of seeds'
Plres(i,r,sim) 'Domestic price of oils'
Pmres(i,r,sim) 'Domestic price of meals'
Pbres(r,sim) 'Domestic price of biodiesel'
PCbres (r,sim) 'Price of composite oil consumed by agent a'
PChres (r,sim) 'Price of composite oil consumed by agent a'
CRres(i,r,sim) 'Intermediate consumption of seeds'
Clbres(i,r,sim) 'Final demand of oils'
Clhres(i,r,sim) 'Final demand of oils'
CPbres (r,sim)
'Final demand of composite oils'
CPhres(r,sim) 'Final demand of composite oils'
Cmres(i,f,r,sim) 'Final demand of meals'
Cbres(f,r,sim) 'Final demand of biodiesel'
Xsres(i,r,sim) 'Net trade of seeds from r'
Xlres(i,r,sim) 'Net trade of oils from r'
Xmres(i,r,sim) 'Net trade of meals from r'
Xbres (r, sim)
PCIFsres (i,sim)
    'Net trade of biodiesel from r'
'International price of seeds'
PCIFlres(i,sim) 'International price of oils'
PCIFmres(i,sim) 'International price of meals'
PCIFbres (sim)
SUBsres(i,r,sim)
SUBbres (r,sim)
MRGres(i,r,sim) 'Crush margin'
MRGbres (r,sim)
;
LOOP (sim\$simcur(sim),
\$include varinit_mcp_bf.gms
TEXP (type, i,r) = TEXPsim(type,i,r,sim) ;
TIMP (type, i,r) = TIMPsim(type,i,r,sim) ;
*solve osds using cns;
solve osds using mcp;
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\hline Ysres(i,r,sim) & = Ys.l(i,r) \\
\hline Ylres(i,r,sim) & = Yl.l(i,r) \\
\hline Ymres(i,r,sim) & = Ym.l(i,r) \\
\hline Ybres (r,sim) & \(=\mathrm{Yb} .1(\mathrm{r})\) \\
\hline Psres(i,r,sim) & = Ps.l(i,r) \\
\hline Plres(i,r,sim) & = Pl.l(i,r) \\
\hline Pmres(i,r,sim) & \(=\operatorname{Pm.l}(\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{r})\) \\
\hline Pbres (r,sim) & \(=\mathrm{Pb} .1(\mathrm{r})\) \\
\hline PCbres (r,sim) & \(=\mathrm{PCb} .1(\mathrm{r})\) \\
\hline PChres(r,sim) & \(=\) PCh.l(r) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

CRres(i,r,sim) = CR.l(i,r) ;
Clbres(i,r,sim) = Clb.l(i,r) ;
Clhres(i,r,sim) = Clh.l(i,r) ;
CPbres(r,sim) = CPb.l(r) ;
CPhres(r,sim) = CPh.l(r) ;
Cmres(i,f,r,sim)= Cm.l(i,f,r) ;
Cbres(f,r,sim) = Cb.l(f,r) ;
Xsres(i,r,sim) = Xs.l(i,r) ;
Xlres(i,r,sim) = Xl.l(i,r) ;
Xmres(i,r,sim) = Xm.l(i,r) ;
Xbres(r,sim) = Xb.l(r) ;
PCIFsres(i,sim) = PCIFs.l(i) ;
PCIFlres(i,sim) = PCIFl.l(i) ;
PCIFmres(i,sim) = PCIFm.l(i) ;
PCIFbres(sim) = PCIFb.l ;
MRGres(i,r,sim) = MRG.l(i,r) ;
MRGbres(r,sim) = MRGb.l(r) ;
MRGres(i,r,'sim4') = MRGsim(i,r,'sim4') ;
MRGbres(r,'sim5') = MRGbsim(r,'sim5') ;
Ymres(i,r,'sim4') = Ymsim(i,r,"sim4");
Ylres(i,r,'sim4') = Ylsim(i,r,"sim4");
Ybres(r,'sim5') = Ybsim(r,"sim5");
SUBsres(i,r,'sim4')$(Plres(i,r,'sim4')*alpha("oil",i,r)+Pmres(i,r,'sim4')*alpha("meal"
,i,r)) =
(MRGres(i,r,'sim4')+Psres(i,r,'sim4'))/(Plres(i,r,'sim4')*alpha("oil",i,r)+Pmres(i,r,'
sim4')*alpha("meal",i,r))-1;
SUBbres(r,'sim5')$Pbres(r,'sim5') = (MRGbres(r,'sim5')
+PCbres(r,'sim5')) /Pbres(r,'sim5')-1;
);

```
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The ERATO model is a part of the MUlti Sectoral partial Equilibrium models (MUSE) develop for the AGRODEP consortium.

